arXiv:1304.7440v1 [math.QA] 28 Apr 2013

FRAMIZATION OF THE TEMPERLEY–LIEB ALGEBRA

DIMOS GOUNDAROULIS, JESÚS JUYUMAYA, AND SOFIA LAMBROPOULOU

Abstract. In this paper we propose a framization of the Temperley–Lieb algebra. The framization is a procedure that can briefly be described as the adding of framing to a known knot algebra in a way that is both algebraically and topologically consistent. Here, our framization is defined as a quotient of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra. The main theorem provides necessary conditions for the Markov trace defined on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra to pass through to our framization.

1. Introduction

Since the original construction of the Jones polynomial the Temperley–Lieb algebra has become a cornerstone between the rich interaction of Knot theory and Representation theory. The Temperley–Lieb algebra was introduced by Temperley and Lieb [13] and was rediscovered by Jones [2].

The Temperley–Lieb algebra, the Hecke algebra and the BMW algebra are the most important examples of knot algebras. A knot algebra is an algebra that is typically defined by generators and relations including the braiding relations, which is used in the understanding of the classification of knots.

In this paper we propose a *d–framization* (or simply *framization*) of the Temperley–Lieb algebra, $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$. The framization is a mechanism designed by the last two authors and consists in a generalization of a knot algebra via the addition of framing generators. In this way we obtain a new algebra which is related to framed knots.

The main question that arises naturally is how one can obtain a framization of a knot algebra. We do not have a standard scheme yet but we know that, at least for $d = 1$, the framization of a knot algebra must coincide with the original knot algebra. The framization procedure can roughly be regarded as the procedure of adding framing generators to the generating set of a knot algebra, of defining interacting relations between the framing generators and the original generators of the algebra and of applying framing on the original defining relations of the algebra. The resulting framed relations should be topologically consistent. The most difficult problem in this procedure is to apply the 'framization' on the relations of polynomial type.

The Yokonuma–Hecke algebra can be regarded as a framization of the Hecke algebra [7, 11]. This framization of the Hecke algebra gives the recipe of how to do framization on the quadratic Hecke relation. Having in mind this example of framization, the last two authors provided a framization of the BMW algebra [11]. Further, it is worth to mentioning that by using the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M25, 20C08, 20F36.

Key words and phrases. Framization, Temperley–Lieb algebra, Yokonuma–Hecke algebra, inductive basis, Markov trace.

The second author has been supported in part by DIUV Grant N°1–2011. Also, co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund - ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) – Research Funding Program: THALES: Reinforcement of the interdisciplinary and/or inter-institutional research and innovation.

framization of the Hecke algebra one can define invariants for framed, classical and singular knots, see [10, 9, 8].

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is dedicated to providing necessary definitions and results. In Section 3 we construct the framization $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$ of the Temperley–Lieb algebra. The main theorem is given in Section 4 (Theorem 4) which provides conditions for a Markov trace on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra to pass through to the framization $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Throughout the paper by the term algebra we mean an associative unital (with unity 1) algebra over the field $K := \mathbb{C}(u)$, where u is a fixed indeterminate. We will also fix two positive integers d and n.

For any positive integer n we denote S_n the symmetric group on n symbols. Let s_i be the elementary transposition $(i, i+1)$. We denote by l the length function on S_n with respect to the s_i's.

Denote $C_d = \langle t \,|\, t^d = 1\rangle$ the cyclic group of order d. Let $t_i = (1, \ldots, 1, t, 1, \ldots, 1) \in C_d^n$, where t is in the i–th position. We have:

$$C_d^n = \langle t_1, \ldots t_n \,|\, t_i t_j = t_j t_i,\ t_i^d = 1\rangle.$$

Define $C_{d,n} := C_d^n \rtimes S_n$, where the action is defined by permutation on the indices of the t_i's, namely: $s_i t_j = t_{s_i(j)} s_i$. We will also use the d–modular braid group $\mathcal{F}_{d,n} := C_d^n \rtimes B_n$, where B_n denotes the braid group on n strands and the action of B_n on C_d^n is defined by the induced permutation on the indices of the t_i's.

2.2. We denote by $\mathrm{H}_n(u)$ the Iwahori–Hecke algebra associated to S_n. That is, the K–algebra with linear basis $\{h_w \,|\, w \in S_n\}$ and the following rules of multiplication.

$$h_{s_i} h_w = \begin{cases} h_{s_i w} & \text{for } l(s_i w) > l(w) \\ u h_{s_i w} + (u-1) h_w & \text{for } l(s_i w) < l(w) \end{cases}.$$

Set $h_i := h_{s_i}$. Then $\mathrm{H}_n(u)$ is presented by $1, h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1}$ subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} h_i h_j &= h_j h_i \quad \text{for all} \quad |i-j| > 1 \\ h_i h_j h_i &= h_j h_i h_j \quad \text{for all} \quad |i-j| = 1 \\ h_i^2 &= u + (u-1) h_i \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1. The *Temperley-Lieb algebra* $\mathrm{TL}_n(u)$ can be defined as the quotient of the algebra $\mathrm{H}_n(u)$ over the two–sided ideal generated by the elements:

$$\sum_{w \in \langle s_i, s_j \rangle} h_w, \quad \text{for all} \quad |i-j| = 1. \tag{1}$$

In [1] Ocneanu constructed a unique Markov trace on $\mathrm{H}_n(u)$. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For any $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ there exists a linear trace τ on $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathrm{H}_n(u)$ uniquely defined by the inductive rules:*

(1) $\tau(ab) = \tau(ba), \quad a, b \in \mathrm{H}_n(u)$
(2) $\tau(1) = 1$
(3) $\tau(a g_n) = z\,\tau(a), \quad a \in \mathrm{H}_n(u)$.

The Ocneanu trace τ passes through to $\mathrm{TL}_n(u)$. Indeed, as it turned out [3], to factorize τ to the Temperley–Lieb algebra, we only need the fact that τ kills the expression of Eq. 1. So, in [3] it is proved that τ passes to the Temperley–Lieb algebra if and only if:

$$z = -\frac{1}{u+1} \quad \text{or} \quad z = -1. \tag{2}$$

2.3. The Yokonuma–Hecke algebra of type A, denoted $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$, can be defined by generators and relations and can be regarded as a quotient of $K\mathcal{F}_{d,n}$ over the two–sided ideal that is generated by the elements:

$$\sigma_i^2 - (u-1)e_i - (u-1)e_i\sigma_i - 1.$$

More precisely, we have the following definition.

Definition 2. The *Yokonuma-Hecke algebra* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ is the algebra presented by generators $1, g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, t_1, \ldots, t_n$ subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_i g_j &= g_j g_i \quad \text{for all} \quad |i-j|>1 && (3)\\
g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} &= g_i g_{i+1} g_i && (4)\\
t_i t_j &= t_j t_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j && (5)\\
t_i^d &= 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad i && (6)\\
g_i t_i &= t_{i+1} g_i && (7)\\
g_i t_{i+1} &= t_i g_i && (8)\\
g_i t_j &= t_j g_i \quad \text{for } j \neq i,\, i+1 && (9)\\
g_i^2 &= 1 + (u-1)e_i + (u-1)e_i g_i && (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where e_i is the idempotent defined by:

$$e_i := \frac{1}{d}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n-1. \tag{11}$$

The definition of the idempotent e_i can be generalized in the following way. For any indices i, j and any $m \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, we define the following elements in $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$:

$$e_{i,j} := \frac{1}{d}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} t_i^s t_j^{-s}, \tag{12}$$

and:

$$e_i^{(m)} := \frac{1}{d}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} t_i^{m+s} t_{i+1}^{-s}. \tag{13}$$

(notice that $e_i = e_{i,i+1} = e_i^{(0)}$). The following lemma collects some of the relations among the e_i's, the t_i's and the g_i's. These relations will be used in the paper.

Lemma 1. *For the idempotents e_i and for $1 \leq i,\, j \leq n-1$ the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
t_j e_i &= e_i t_j\\
e_{i+1} g_i &= g_i e_{i,i+2}\\
e_i g_j &= g_j e_i, \quad \textit{for } j \neq i-1, i+1\\
e_j g_i g_j &= g_i g_j e_i \quad \textit{for } |i-j| = 1\\
e_i e_{i+1} &= e_i e_{i,i+2}\\
e_i e_{i+1} &= e_{i,i+2} e_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. All relations are immediate consequences of the definitions. The proofs for the first four relations can be found for example in [8, Lemma 2.1]. For the fifth relation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s}\, \frac{1}{d}\sum_{m=0}^{d-1} t_{i+1}^m t_{i+2}^{-m} \\ &= \frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}\sum_{m=0}^{d-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{m-s} t_{i+2}^{-m}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Setting now $k = m - s$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (14) &= \frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{k} t_{i+2}^{-k-s} \\ &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} t_i^s t_{i+2}^{-s}\, \frac{1}{d}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} t_{i+1}^k t_{i+2}^{-k} \\ &= e_{i,i+2} e_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The sixth relation is proved in an analogous way. □

Notice now that using relations (7) and (8) one can write any monomial $\mathfrak{m}$ in the defining generators of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ in the following form:

$$\mathfrak{m} = t_1^{a_1} \dots t_n^{a_n} \mathfrak{m}',$$

where $\mathfrak{m}' = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_n}$. We say that every monomial in $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ has the *splitting property*, that is, one can separate the framing part from the braiding part.

The algebra $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ can be alternatively defined as a u–deformation of the algebra $KC_{d,n}$. More precisely, let $w \in S_n$ and let $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ be a reduced expression for w. Since the generators g_i of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ satisfy the same braiding relations as the generators of S_n, then together with the well-known theorem of Matsumoto [12], it follows that $g_w := g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}$ is well defined. Notice that the defining generators g_i correspond to g_{s_i}. We have the following multiplication rule in $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ (see Proposition 2.4[4]):

$$g_{s_i} g_w = \begin{cases} g_{s_i w} & \text{for } l(s_i w) > l(w) \\ g_{s_i w} + (u-1) e_i g_{s_i w} + (u-1) e_i g_w & \text{for } l(s_i w) < l(w) \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

We also correspond g_{t_i} to t_i and we define: $g_{t_i w} = g_{t_i} g_w = t_i g_w$.

Using the above multiplication formulas the second author proved in [5] that $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ has the following standard basis:

$$\{t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_n^{a_n} g_w \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z},\, w \in S_n\}$$

Further, we have an inductive basis of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra, which is used in the proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 1 ([5],Proposition 8)**.** *Every element in* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n+1}(u)$ *is a unique linear combination of words, each of one of the following types:*

$$\mathfrak{m}_n g_n g_{n-1} \dots g_i t_i^k \quad \textit{or} \quad \mathfrak{m}_n t_{n+1}^k,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\mathfrak{m}_n$ *is a word in the inductive basis of* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$.

Using the above basis, the second author constructed in [5] a linear Markov trace on the algebra $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$, see section 4.

3. A Framization of the Temperley–Lieb algebra

Consider the following subgroup of $C_{d,n}$:

$$H_{i,j} := \langle t_i t_{i+1}^{-1}, t_j t_{j+1}^{-1} \rangle \rtimes \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j| = 1.$$

In particular, for $j = i+1$, we have that each x in $H_{i,i+1}$ can be written in the form:

$$x = t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} w, \tag{16}$$

where $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ and $w \in \langle s_i, s_{i+1} \rangle$.

Definition 3. For $n \geq 3$, the *Framization of the Temperley–Lieb algebra*, $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$, is defined as the quotient $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ over the two–sided ideal J that is generated by the elements

$$\sum_{x \in H_{i,i+1}} g_x, \quad i = 1, \ldots n-2. \tag{17}$$

Theorem 2. *$\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ over the two–sided ideal J generated by $\sum_{x \in H_{1,2}} g_x$. Equivalently, J is generated by the single element:*

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} \left(1 + g_1 + g_2 + g_1 g_2 + g_2 g_1 + g_1 g_2 g_1\right).$$

For the proof of the theorem we will need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2. *The following hold in $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$:*

(1) $t_j = (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{j-1}\, t_1\, (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-(j-1)}$
(2) $g_i = (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, g_1\, (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)}$

Proof. For case (1) we have that the statement is true for $j = 2$. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})\, t_1\, (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} &= g_1 t_1 g_2 \ldots g_{n-1} (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= t_2 (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= t_2.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the statement is true for $j = k$. We will show that the statement holds for $j = k+1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^k t_1 (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-k} &= (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{k-1} t_1 (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-(k-1)} (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1}) t_k (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= g_1 \ldots g_{k-1} g_k\, t_k\, g_{k+1} \ldots g_{n-1} (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= t_{k+1} (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= t_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

For case (2) we have that the statement is true for $i = 2$. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})\, g_1\, (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} &= g_1 g_2 g_1 g_3 \ldots g_{n-1} (g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= g_2 (g_1 g_2 \ldots g_{n-1})(g_1 \ldots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &= g_2.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the statement is true for $i = k$. We will show that the statement holds for $i = k+1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^k g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-k} &= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})(g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{k-1} g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(k-1)} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\
&= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1}) g_k (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\
&= g_1 \dots g_{k-1} g_k g_{k+1} g_k g_{k+2} \dots g_{n-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\
&= g_1 \dots g_{k-1} g_{k+1} g_k g_{k+1} \dots g_{n-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\
&= g_{k+1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})(g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} \\
&= g_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. *The following hold in* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ *for all* $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ *and* $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$*:*

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
(1) & t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} & = & (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
(2) & t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_i & = & (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1\, (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
(3) & t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_{i+1} & = & (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_2\, (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
(4) & t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_i g_{i+1} & = & (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1 g_2\, (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
(5) & t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_{i+1} g_i & = & (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_2 g_1\, (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
(6) & t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_i g_{i+1} g_i & = & (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1 g_2 g_1\, (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)}
\end{array}$$

Proof. We will demonstrate the proof for the cases (1) and (6). The rest of the cases are proved in an analogous manner. For case (1) we have from Lemma 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} &= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} t_1^{\alpha} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i} t_1^{\beta} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-i} \\
&\quad \cdot (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i+1} t_1^{\gamma} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i+1)} \\
&= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} t_1^{\alpha} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1}) t_1^{\beta} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&\quad \cdot (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{2} t_1^{\gamma} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-2} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For case (6) we have from Lemma 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_i^{\alpha} t_{i+1}^{\beta} t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_i g_{i+1} g_i &= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} t_1^{\alpha} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i} t_1^{\beta} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-i} \\
&\quad \cdot (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i+1} t_1^{\gamma} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i+1)} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&\quad \cdot (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i} g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-i} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} t_1^{\alpha} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1}) t_1^{\beta} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&\quad \cdot (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{2} t_1^{\gamma} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-2} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&\quad \cdot (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-1} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)} \\
&= (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{i-1} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1 g_2 g_1 (g_1 \dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. The multiplication defined on $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ and Eqs. 16 and 17 imply that the ideal J is generated by elements of the form:

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ w\in\langle s_i,s_{i+1}\rangle}} t_i^{\alpha}t_{i+1}^{\beta}t_{i+2}^{\gamma}\, g_w. \tag{18}$$

Expanding Eq. 18 and applying Lemma 3 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ w\in\langle s_i,s_{i+1}\rangle}} t_i^{\alpha}t_{i+1}^{\beta}t_{i+2}^{\gamma}\, g_w &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ w\in S_3}} (g_1\dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\, t_1^{\alpha}t_2^{\beta}t_3^{\gamma}\, g_w\, (g_1\dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)}\\ &= (g_1\dots g_{n-1})^{i-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha}t_2^{\beta}t_3^{\gamma} g_{1,2}\right)(g_1\dots g_{n-1})^{-(i-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore as a two–sided ideal J is generated by the single element:

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha}t_2^{\beta}t_3^{\gamma}\, g_{1,2}$$

where $g_{1,2} := 1+g_1+g_2+g_1g_2+g_2g_1+g_1g_2g_1$, and so the proof of the theorem is concluded. □

We define now the elements $\widetilde{g}_{i,i+1} \in \mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$, by:

$$\widetilde{g}_{i,i+1} := \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_i^{\alpha}t_{i+1}^{\beta}t_{i+2}^{\gamma} g_{i,i+1}. \tag{19}$$

From the above result we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1. $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$ *is the* K*–algebra generated by the set* $\{1, t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}\}$ *whose elements are subject to the defining relations of* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ *and the relation:*

$$\widetilde{g}_{1,2} = 0.$$

4. A Markov trace on $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$

The main purpose of this section is to find necessary conditions in order that the Markov trace [5] defined on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra passes to the quotient algebra $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$.

4.1. In [5, Theorem 12] it is proved that $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ supports a Markov trace. More precisely, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3. *Let* d *a positive integer. For indeterminates* z, $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$ *there exists a unique linear Markov trace* tr*:*

$$\mathrm{tr} : \cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[z, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}]$$

defined inductively on n *by the following rules:*

$$\begin{array}{rcll}\mathrm{tr}(ab) &=& \mathrm{tr}(ba) & \\ \mathrm{tr}(1) &=& 1 & \\ \mathrm{tr}(ag_n) &=& z\,\mathrm{tr}(a) & \quad (\textit{Markov property})\\ \mathrm{tr}(at_{n+1}^{s}) &=& x_s\mathrm{tr}(a) & \quad (s = 1, \dots, d-1)\end{array}$$

where $a, b \in \mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$.

By direct computation, $\mathrm{tr}(e_i)$ takes the same value for all i. We denote this value by E, that is:

$$E := \tfrac{1}{d}\textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} x_s x_{d-s} = \mathrm{tr}(e_i),$$

where $x_0 := 1$. For all $0 \leq k \leq d-1$, we also define:

$$E^{(k)} := \tfrac{1}{d}\textstyle\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} x_{k+s}x_{d-s} = \mathrm{tr}(e_i^{(k)}),$$

where $e_i^{(k)}$ is defined in (13). Notice that $E = E^{(0)}$.

4.2. In [10] invariants for oriented framed knots are constructed, using the natural epimorphism of the framed braid group $\mathcal{F}_n$ on $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$, the trace tr and the Markov equivalence for framed braids. In order to achieve that, it was necessary that the parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ were solutions of a non–linear system, called the E–system. More precisely:

Definition 4. We say that the set of complex numbers $X_d = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}\}$ satisfies the *E–condition* if $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ satisfy the following *E–system* of non–linear equations in $\mathbb{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{E}^{(1)} & = & \mathrm{x}_1\mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{E}^{(2)} & = & \mathrm{x}_2\mathrm{E} \\ & \vdots & \\ \mathrm{E}^{(d-1)} & = & \mathrm{x}_{d-1}\mathrm{E} \end{array}$$

Equivalently:

$$\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \mathrm{x}_{m+s}\mathrm{x}_{d-s} = \mathrm{x}_m \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \mathrm{x}_s\mathrm{x}_{d-s} \qquad (1 \leq m \leq d-1). \tag{20}$$

In [10, Appendix] it is proved that the solutions of the E–system are the functions x_S parametrized by the non–empty subsets S of the cyclic group C_d of order d as follows:

$$x_S = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{s\in S} e_s,$$

where e_s are the characters of the group C_d, namely: $e_s(k) = \cos\frac{2\pi sk}{d} + i\sin\frac{2\pi sk}{d} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$.

4.3. In virtue of Corollary 1 we have that, if the trace tr passes to $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$, then $\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = 0$. Now, the following lemma computes the expression for $\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{g}_{1,2})$.

Lemma 4. *For the elements $\widetilde{g}_{1,2}$, we have:*

$$\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = d^2\left[(u+1)z^2 + (u+2)z\,E + \mathrm{tr}(e_1e_2)\right].$$

Proof. We first note that $t_1^k t_2^l e_1 = e_1^{(k+l)}$, so $\mathrm{tr}(t_1^k t_2^l e_1) = E^{(k+l)}$ (cf. [10, Remark 5]. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{g}_{1,2}) &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, g_{1,2}\right) = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, g_{1,2}) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \Big[\mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}) + \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1) + \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_2) + \\
&\qquad \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1 g_2) + \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_2 g_1) + \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma} g_1 g_2 g_1)\Big] \\
&= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \Big[(u+1)z^2 x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} + (u-1)z\, E^{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} + \\
&\qquad z(x_{\alpha} x_{\beta+\gamma} + x_{\beta} x_{\alpha+\gamma} + x_{\gamma} x_{\alpha+\beta}) + x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} x_{\gamma}\Big] \\
&= d^2(u+1)z^2 + d^2(u-1)z\, E + d\, z \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} x_{-\alpha} + \\
&\qquad d\, z \sum_{\beta} x_{\beta} x_{-\beta} + d\, z \sum_{\gamma} x_{\gamma} x_{-\gamma} + \sum_{\alpha,\,\gamma} x_{\alpha} x_{-\alpha-\gamma} x_{\gamma} \\
&= d^2(u+1)z^2 + d^2(u-1)z\, E + 3d^2 z\, E + d^2 \mathrm{tr}(e_1 e_2) \\
&= d^2\left[(u+1)z^2 + (u+2)z\, E + \mathrm{tr}(e_1 e_2)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Thus the lemma above together with $\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = 0$ imply that the parameters z, u and $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$(u+1)z^2 + (u+2)E\, z + \mathrm{tr}(e_1 e_2) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Remark 1. For $d = 1$ we obtain from Eq. 21 that $z = -\frac{1}{u+1}$ or $z = -1$. Indeed, $d = 1$ implies $E = 1$ and $\mathrm{tr}(e_1 e_2) = 1$, thus Eq. 21 becomes $(u+1)z^2 + (u+2)z + 1 = 0$ which yields the values of Eq. 2.

4.4. We will see that the above equation involving z, u and $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ is not a sufficient condition for tr to pass to $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$. Thus, the main purpose of the rest of this section is to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for trace tr to pass through to the quotient $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$.

We shall now give three technical lemmas that will be used in the proof of the main theorem of this section.

Lemma 5 (cf. Lemma 7.5 [6])**.** *For the elements $g_{1,2}$ we have in $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ (recall (12) for $e_{1,3}$):*

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
(1) & g_1 g_{1,2} & = & [1 + (u-1)e_1]g_{1,2} \\
(2) & g_2 g_{1,2} & = & [1 + (u-1)e_2]g_{1,2} \\
(3) & g_1 g_2 g_{1,2} & = & \left[1 + (u-1)e_1 + (u-1)e_{1,3} + (u-1)^2 e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2} \\
(4) & g_2 g_1 g_{1,2} & = & \left[1 + (u-1)\, e_2 + (u-1)e_{1,3} + (u-1)^2 e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2} \\
(5) & g_1 g_2 g_1 g_{1,2} & = & \left[1 + (u-1)(e_1 + e_2 + e_{1,3}) + (u-1)^2 (u+2)\, e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2}
\end{array}$$

Proof. The idea is to expand the left–hand side of each equation and then use Eq. 10 and Lemma 1. We will demonstrate the proof for the indicative cases (1) and (4). The other cases are proved similarly.

For case (1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 g_{1,2} &= g_1 + g_1^2 + g_1 g_2 + g_1^2 g_2 + g_1 g_2 g_1 + g_1^2 g_2 g_1 \\
&= g_1 + [1 + (u-1)e_1 + (u-1)e_1 g_1] \\
&\quad + g_1 g_2 + [g_2 + (u-1)e_1 g_2 + (u-1)e_1 g_1 g_2] \\
&\quad + g_1 g_2 g_1 + [g_2 g_1 + (u-1)e_1 g_2 g_1 + (u-1)e_1 g_1 g_2 g_1] \\
&= g_{1,2} + (u-1)e_1 g_{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case (2) is completely analogous. In order to prove case (4) we will use cases (1) and (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2 g_1 g_{1,2} &= g_2\left(g_{1,2} + (u-1)e_1 g_{1,2}\right) \\
&= g_2 g_{1,2} + (u-1)e_{1,3} g_2 g_{1,2} \quad \text{(Lemma 1)} \\
&= [1 + (u-1)e_2]\, g_{1,2} + (u-1)e_{1,3}(1 + (u-1)e_2) g_{1,2} \\
&= [1 + (u-1)e_2]\, g_{1,2} + (u-1)e_{1,3} g_{1,2} + (u-1)^2 e_{1,3} e_2 g_{1,2} \quad \text{(Lemma 1)} \\
&= \left[1 + (u-1)\, e_2 + (u-1)e_{1,3} + (u-1)^2 e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 6. *For the elements* $\widetilde{g}_{1,2}$ *we have in* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$*:*

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
(1) & g_1 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} & = & \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, [1 + (u-1)e_1] g_{1,2} \\
(2) & g_2 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} & = & \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, [1 + (u-1)e_2] g_{1,2} \\
(3) & g_1 g_2 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} & = & \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, \left[1 + (u-1)e_1 + (u-1)e_{1,3} + (u-1)^2 e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2} \\
(4) & g_2 g_1 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} & = & \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, \left[1 + (u-1)\, e_2 + (u-1)e_{1,3} + (u-1)^2 e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2} \\
(5) & g_1 g_2 g_1 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} & = & \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, \left[1 + (u-1)(e_1 + e_2 + e_{1,3}) + (u-1)^2 (u+2)\, e_1 e_2\right] g_{1,2}
\end{array}$$

Proof. For case (1) we have that:

$$g_1 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} = g_1 \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, g_{1,2} = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\beta} t_2^{\alpha} t_3^{\gamma}\, g_1 g_{1,2}.$$

Since we sum over all possible α, β, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, by abuse of notation and keeping the same exponents for the t_i's as the generators g_i pass to the right, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 \widetilde{g}_{1,2} &= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, g_1 g_{1,2} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} t_1^{\alpha} t_2^{\beta} t_3^{\gamma}\, [1 + (u-1)e_1] g_{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the cases are proved in an analogous way. $\square$

Lemma 7. *The following holds in* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$.

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k}\, \mathfrak{e}\, g_{1,2}) = \mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)} e_2) + (u+2) z\, E^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1) z^2$$

where $\mathfrak{e} \in \{1, e_1, e_2, e_{1,3}, e_1 e_2\}$ *and* $k_1, k_2, k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

To benefit the reader, the proof of the above lemma is done in the appendix since it is just a long and tedious computation.

We shall do now the analysis for the conditions that must be imposed on the trace parameters in order that tr passes to $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$. Having in mind Corollary 1 and the linearity of tr, it follows that tr passes to $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$ if and only if the following equations are satisfied for all monomials a in the inductive basis of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$. Namely:

$$\mathrm{tr}(a\widetilde{g}_{12}) = 0 \qquad (a \in \mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)). \tag{22}$$

4.5. Let us first consider the case $n = 3$. By Proposition 1 the elements in the inductive basis of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,3}(u)$ are of the following forms:

$$t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}t_3^{k}, \quad t_1^{k_1}g_1t_1^{k_2}t_3^{k}, \quad t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}g_2g_1t_1^{k}, \quad t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}g_2t_2^{k}, \quad t_1^{k_1}g_1t_1^{k_2}g_2t_2^{k}, \quad t_1^{k_1}g_1t_1^{k_2}g_2g_1t_1^{k}.$$

So, using Lemma 7 we obtain from Eq. 22 four sets of equations, which all reduce to one single type of equation. Indeed, we have:
(a) The case $a = t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}t_3^{k}$. This case yields the equation:

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + (u+2)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k} = 0. \tag{23}$$

(b) The cases $a = t_1^{k_1}g_1t_1^{k_2}t_3^{k}$ and $a = t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}g_2t_2^{k}$. These cases yield the same equation:

$$u\left[\mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + (u+2)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k}\right] = 0. \tag{24}$$

(c) The cases $a = t_1^{k_1}t_2^{k_2}g_2g_1t_1^{k}$ and $a = t_1^{k_1}g_1t_1^{k_2}g_2t_2^{k}$. From these cases we obtain the equation:

$$u^2\left[\mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + (u+2)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k}\right] = 0. \tag{25}$$

(d) The case $a = t_1^{k_1}g_1t_1^{k_2}g_2g_1t_1^{k}$. This case yields the equation:

$$u^3\left[\mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + (u+2)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k}\right] = 0. \tag{26}$$

We are now in the position to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *The trace* tr *of* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,3}(u)$ *passes to the quotient algebra* $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,3}(u)$ *if the trace parameters* $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ *are solutions of the E–system and* z *takes one of the following values:*

$$z_{\pm} := \frac{-(u+2)E \pm \sqrt{(u+2)^2E^2 - 4(u+1)A}}{2(u+1)}$$

where $A := \mathrm{tr}(e_1e_2)$.

Proof. For $a = 1$, Eq. 23 becomes Eq. 21, hence the values for z, in terms of u and $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$, are z_+ or z_-.

Now, if $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ are solutions of the E-system we have the following:

$$E^{(k_1+k_2+k)} = x_{k_1+k_2+k}E^{(0)} \tag{27}$$

and also that:

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) = \frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{0\leq s\leq d-1} x_{k_1+k_2+k+s}x_{-s}\sum_{0\leq m\leq d-1} x_mx_{-m} = x_{k_1+k_2+k}\mathrm{tr}(e_1e_2). \tag{28}$$

Thus Eq. 23 becomes:

$$x_{k_1+k_2+k}\left[(u+1)z^2 + ((u+2)E)\,z + \mathrm{tr}(e_1e_2)\right] = 0,$$

that is, Eq. 23 reduces to Eq. 21.

The same is true for Eqs. 24, 25, 26 and therefore the proof of the proposition is concluded. □

The main theorem is the following.

Theorem 4. *For $n \geq 3$, the trace* tr *on* $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ *passes through to the quotient* $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,n}(u)$ *if the trace parameters* $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ *are solutions of the E-system and* z *is equal to* z_+ *or* z_-.

Proof. By induction on n. In Proposition 2 we proved the case where $n = 3$. Assume that the statement holds for all $\mathrm{FTL}_{d,k}(u)$, where $k \leq n$, that is:

$$\mathrm{tr}(a_k\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = 0,$$

where $a_k \in \mathrm{Y}_{d,k}(u)$, $k \leq n$. We will show the statement for $k = n+1$. It suffices to prove that the following equality holds for any element in the form $a_{n+1}\widetilde{g}_{1,2}$, where a_{n+1} belongs to the inductive basis of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n+1}(u)$, given the conditions of the Theorem:

$$\mathrm{tr}(a_{n+1}\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = 0.$$

Since a_{n+1} is in the inductive basis of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n+1}(u)$, it is of one of the following forms (Proposition 1):

$$a_{n+1} = a_n g_n \ldots g_i t_i^k \quad \text{or} \quad a_{n+1} = a_n t_{n+1}^k,$$

where a_n is in the inductive basis of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$. For the first case we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}(a_{n+1}\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = \mathrm{tr}(a_n g_n \ldots g_i t_i^k\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = z\, \mathrm{tr}(a_n g_{n-1} \ldots g_i t_i^k\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = z\, \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}\widetilde{g}_{1,2}),$$

where $\tilde{a} := a_n g_{n-1} \ldots g_i t_i^k$. Notice now that $\widetilde{a}$ is a word in $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$ and so, by the linearity of the trace, we have that $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2})$ is a linear combination of traces of the form $\mathrm{tr}(a_n\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2})$, where a_n is in the inductive basis of $\mathrm{Y}_{d,n}(u)$. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, we deduce that:

$$\mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{a}\, \widetilde{g}_{1,2}) = 0,$$

if the conditions of the Theorem are satisfied. Therefore the statement is proved. The second case is proved similarly. Hence, the proof of the theorem is concluded. □

Appendix A. The proof of Lemma 7

We will prove the lemma for the cases $\mathfrak{e} = e_1$ and $\mathfrak{e} = e_1 e_2$. The rest of the cases are proved analogously.

For the case where $\mathfrak{e} = e_1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 g_{1,2}) &= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1) + \\
&\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 g_1) + \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 g_2) + \\
&\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 g_1 g_2) + \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 g_2 g_1) + \\
&\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 g_1 g_2 g_1) =
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\alpha,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{-\alpha-\gamma+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1) + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s} t_2^{\beta+k_2-s} t_3^{\gamma+k} g_1) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s} t_2^{\beta+k_2-s} t_3^{\gamma+k} g_2) + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s} t_2^{\beta+k_2-s} t_3^{\gamma+k} g_1 g_2) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s} t_2^{\beta+k_2-s} t_3^{\gamma+k} g_2 g_1) + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s} t_2^{\beta+k_2-s} t_3^{\gamma+k} g_1 g_2 g_1) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{-\alpha-\gamma+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1) + \frac{z}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} x_{\gamma+k} x_{\alpha+\beta+k_1+k_2} + \\
&\quad \frac{z}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} x_{\alpha+k_1+s} x_{\beta+\gamma+k_2+k-s} + 2\frac{z^2}{d} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s\le d-1}} x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+k_1+k_2+k} + \\
&\quad z \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+\gamma+k_1+k} t_2^{\beta+k_2}) + (u-1)z \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+\gamma+k_1+k} t_2^{\beta+k_2} e_1) + \\
&\quad (u-1)z \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+\gamma+k_1+k} t_2^{\beta+k_2} e_1 g_1) = \\
&= d^2 \operatorname{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}) + d\,z \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} x_{\gamma+k} x_{-\gamma+k_1+k_2} + d\,z \sum_{\substack{\alpha'\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\\ \alpha'=\alpha+s}} x_{\alpha'+k_1} x_{-\alpha'+k_2+k} + 2d^2 z^2\, x_{k_1+k_2+k} + \\
&\quad d\,z \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{-\beta+k_1+k} t_2^{\beta+k_2}) + (u-1)d\,z \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{-\beta+k_1+k} t_2^{\beta+k_2} e_1) + (u-1)d^2\, z^2\, x_{k_1+k_2+k} \\
&= \operatorname{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)} e_2) + 3zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u-1)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2\, x_{k_1+k_2+k} \\
&= \operatorname{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)} e_2) + (u+2)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2\, x_{k_1+k_2+k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the case where $\mathfrak{e} = e_1 e_2$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2 g_{1,2}) = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2) + \\
&\quad \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2 g_1) + \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2 g_2) + \\
&\quad \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2 g_1 g_2) + \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2 g_2 g_1) + \\
&\quad \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2 g_1 g_2 g_1) = \\
&= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1} t_2^{\beta+k_2} t_3^{\gamma+k} e_1 e_2) + \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\ 0\le s,m\le d-1}} \operatorname{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s} t_2^{\beta+k_2-s+m} t_3^{\gamma+k-m} g_1) +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s,m\leq d-1}} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s}t_2^{\beta+k_2-s+m}t_3^{\gamma+k-m}g_2)+$$

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s,m\leq d-1}} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s}t_2^{\beta+k_2-s+m}t_3^{\gamma+k-m}g_1g_2)+$$

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s,m\leq d-1}} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s}t_2^{\beta+k_2-s+m}t_3^{\gamma+k-m}g_2g_1)+$$

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s,m\leq d-1}} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1+s}t_2^{\beta+k_2-s+m}t_3^{\gamma+k-m}g_1g_2g_1)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+k_1}t_2^{\beta+k_2}t_3^{\gamma+k}e_1e_2) + \frac{z}{d}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq m\leq d-1}} x_{\gamma+k-m}x_{\alpha+\beta+k_1+k_2+m}+$$

$$\frac{z}{d}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s\leq d-1}} x_{\beta+\gamma+k_2+k-s}x_{a+k_1+s} + 2z^2\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+k_1+k_2+k}+$$

$$\frac{z}{d}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s'\leq d-1\\s'=s-m}} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+\gamma+k_1+k+s'}t_2^{\beta+k_2-s'}) + (u-1)\frac{z}{d}\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0\\0\leq s'\leq d-1\\s'=s-m}} \mathrm{tr}(t_1^{\alpha+\gamma+k_1+k+s'}t_2^{\beta+k_2-s'}e_1)+$$

$$+ (u-1)z^2\sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0} x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+k_1+k_2+k}$$

$$= d^2\,\mathrm{tr}(e^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + d\,z\sum_{\substack{\gamma'\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\\\gamma'=\gamma-m}} x_{\gamma'+k}x_{-\gamma'+k_1+k_2} + d\,z\sum_{\substack{\alpha'\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\\\alpha'=\alpha+s}} x_{\alpha'+k_1}x_{-\alpha'+k_2+k}+$$

$$2d^2\,z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k} + d\,z\sum_{\substack{\beta'\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\\\beta'=\beta-s'}} x_{\beta'+k_2}x_{-\beta'+k_1+k} + (u-1)d\,z\sum_{\substack{\beta'\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\\\beta'=\beta-s'}} x_{\beta'+k_2}x_{-\beta'+k_1+k}+$$

$$+ (u-1)d^2\,z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k}$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + 3zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u-1)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2x_{k_1+k_2+k} =$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}(e_1^{(k_1+k_2+k)}e_2) + (u+2)zE^{(k_1+k_2+k)} + (u+1)z^2\,x_{k_1+k_2+k}.$$

The rest of the cases are proved in an analogous way.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. Lickorish, K. Millett and A. Ocneanu, A new polynomial invariant of knots and links, Bull AMS **12** (1985), 183-312.

[2] V.F.R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent Math **72** (1983), 1–25.

[3] V.F.R. Jones, *Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials*, Ann Math **126**, 335–388 (1987).

[4] J. Juyumaya, *Sur les noveaux générateurs de l'algèbre de Hecke* $\mathcal{H}(G,U,1)$, J Algebra **204**, 40–68 (1998).

[5] J. Juyumaya, *Markov trace on the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, J Knot Theo Ramif **13**, 25–39 (2004).

[6] J. Juyumaya, *A partition Temperley–Lieb algebra*, arXiv:1304.5158 [math.QA](Preprint).

[7] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *p–adic framed braids*, Topol Appl **154** (2007) 1804–1826.

[8] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *An invariant for singular knots*, J Knot Theo Ramif, **18**, No. 6 (2009) 825–840.

[9] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *An adelic extension of the Jones polynomial*, M. Banagl, D. Vogel (eds.) The mathematics of knots, Contributions in the Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Vol. 1, Springer (2011).

[10] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *p–adic framed braids II*, Adv Math, **234** (2013), 149-191.

[11] J. Juyumaya, S. Lambropoulou, *Modular framization of the BMW algebra*, arXiv:1007.0092v1 [math.GT].

[12] H. Matsumoto, *Générateurs et relations des groupes de Weyl généralisés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **258**, 3419–3422 (1964).

[13] H.N.V. Temperley, E. H. Lieb, *Relations between the 'percolation' and 'couloring' problem and other graph–theoretical problem associated with regular planar lattice: some exact results for the 'percolations problems'*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 322 (1971), 251–280.

Departament of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou campus, GR-157 80 Athens, Greece.
E-mail address: `dgound@mail.ntua.gr`
URL: `users.ntua.gr/dgound`

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Valparaíso, Gran Bretaña 1091, Valparaíso, Chile
E-mail address: `juyumaya@uvach.cl`

Departament of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou campus, GR–157 80 Athens, Greece.
E-mail address: `sofia@math.ntua.gr`
URL: `http://www.math.ntua.gr/~sofia`